Finocchiaro, Marco

From: Feinmark, Phyllis

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2021 10:02 AM

To: Finocchiaro, Marco **Cc:** Montgomerie, Robert

Subject: RE: SITE ACCESS FOR NJ WOTUS CALL FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township,

Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and USEPA re Musconetcong River

Wild and Scenic Rivers designation

From: Finocchiaro, Marco <Finocchiaro.Marco@epa.gov>

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2021 9:49 AM

To: Feinmark, Phyllis <Feinmark.Phyllis@epa.gov>

Cc: Montgomerie, Robert < Montgomerie. Robert@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: SITE ACCESS FOR NJ WOTUS CALL FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township, Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation

Marco Finocchiaro Chief, Wetlands Protection Section U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 24th Floor New York, NY 10007 212-637-3836

From: Feinmark, Phyllis < Feinmark. Phyllis@epa.gov >

Sent: Thursday, March 11, 2021 9:12 AM

To: Finocchiaro, Marco < <u>Finocchiaro.Marco@epa.gov</u>> **Cc:** Montgomerie, Robert < <u>Montgomerie.Robert@epa.gov</u>>

Subject: Re: SITE ACCESS FOR NJ WOTUS CALL FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township, Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 11, 2021, at 9:10 AM, Feinmark, Phyllis < Feinmark.Phyllis@epa.gov > wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 11, 2021, at 8:49 AM, Finocchiaro, Marco <Finocchiaro.Marco@epa.gov> wrote:

Marco Finocchiaro
Chief, Wetlands Protection Section
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2
290 Broadway, 24th Floor
New York, NY 10007
212-637-3836

From: Montgomerie, Robert < Montgomerie. Robert@epa.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2021 5:03 PM

To: Feinmark, Phyllis < Feinmark. Phyllis@epa.gov >; Finocchiaro, Marco

<Finocchiaro.Marco@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: SITE ACCESS FOR NJ WOTUS CALL FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township, Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation

... Saint Patricks Day!

From: Feinmark, Phyllis < Feinmark.Phyllis@epa.gov >

Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2021 4:00 PM

To: Finocchiaro, Marco < Finocchiaro.Marco@epa.gov Cc: Montgomerie, Robert Montgomerie, Robert Robert@epa.gov Cc: Montgomerie, Robert Robert@epa.gov Cc: Montgomerie, Robert Robert@epa.gov Cc: Montgomerie, Robert Robert@epa.gov Robert@epa.gov Rober

Subject: RE: SITE ACCESS FOR NJ WOTUS CALL FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township, Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and

USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation



From: Finocchiaro, Marco < Finocchiaro. Marco@epa.gov >

Sent: Friday, March 5, 2021 10:41 AM

To: Feinmark, Phyllis < Feinmark. Phyllis@epa.gov>

Cc: Montgomerie, Robert < Montgomerie.Robert@epa.gov >

 $\textbf{Subject:} \ \textbf{SITE ACCESS FOR NJ WOTUS CALL FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1} \\ \textbf{Franklin Township, Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and} \\ \textbf{Service and Service Service and Service Ser$

USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation

Importance: High

Hey Phyllis,

Best, Marco

Marco Finocchiaro Chief, Wetlands Protection Section U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 2 290 Broadway, 24th Floor New York, NY 10007 212-637-3836

From: Ryan, Patrick < Patrick.Ryan@dep.nj.gov >

Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 6:03 PM

To: Finocchiaro, Marco < Finocchiaro. Marco@epa.gov >; Montgomerie, Robert

<Montgomerie.Robert@epa.gov>

Cc: Tropiano, Michael < <u>Michael.Tropiano@dep.nj.gov</u>>; Anderson, Ryan

< Ryan. Anderson@dep.nj.gov >

Subject: FW: Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township, Warren County / Correspondence from National Park Service and USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation


Hey Marco and Bob,

Please see below from the property owners attorney. Since NJDEP has nothing pending on this site, our council is recommending that we not attend, as is unclear if we have permission to access the site. Feel free to reach out to Mr. Yoskin independently, if we get consent to conduct a site inspection we would be happy to attend with you guys. Let me know if you need anything additional from us.

Patrick W. Ryan

Division of Land Resource Protection

NJ Department of Environmental Protection Mail Code 501-02A, PO Box 420 501 East State Street, Trenton, NJ 08625 patrick.ryan@dep.nj.gov T (609) 633-6563 |



NOTE: This E-mail is protected by the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Sections 2510-2521. This E-Mail and its contents, may be Privileged & Confidential due to the Attorney-Client Privilege, Attorney Work Product, and Deliberative Process or under the New Jersey Open Public Records Act. If you are not the intended recipient of this e-mail, please notify the sender, delete it and do not read, act upon, print, disclose, copy, retain or redistribute it.

Thanks, Pat

From: Yoskin, Neil <<u>nyoskin@cullenllp.com</u>>
Sent: Thursday, March 4, 2021 1:36 PM

To: Moriarty, Jennifer (LPS) < <u>Jennifer.Moriarty@law.njoag.gov</u>>; Cristin Mustillo < <u>Cristin.Mustillo@law.njoag.gov</u>>; Kenney, Paul T < <u>paul kenney@nps.gov</u>>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Yaraghi Realty LLC, Block 58, Lot 1 Franklin Township, Warren

County / Correspondence from National Park Service and USEPA re Musconetcong River Wild and Scenic Rivers designation

Good afternoon:

As you know, we represent Yaraghi Realty. This is in furtherance of my recent conversation with DAG Moriarty regarding the above matter. I want to bring to the following to your attention:

• The wetland Letter of Interpretation (LOI) that is being called into question was issued in 2014, and was extended for an additional five years on November 6, 2019 (see attached). The Freshwater Wetland rules, at NJAC 7:7A-4.6, provide that the recipient of an LOI is entitled to rely on that LOI for a period of five years unless it was determined to be based on inaccurate or incomplete information.

- The LOI was a presence/absence LOI, meaning that the
 determination was made that no wetlands as defined
 in New jersey's Freshwater Wetland Protection
 Act were present on the site. The presence or absence
 of an intermittent stream is simply not relevant to
 whether or not wetlands are present.
- The water feature in question is a seasonal, erosional feature running through a farm field that is cultivated for much of the year. The December 21, 2020 letter from James Fosburgh of the National Park Service to EPA Region 2 Administrator Peter Lopez cited seven items of information as evidence that the feature was, in fact, an "intermittent stream". Again, whether a water feature is considered to be an intermittent stream is not relevant to whether or not wetlands are present.
- Not only does the NPS letter not put the term "intermittent stream" in any regulatory context, each and every one of those items was available to DEP at the time that it issued the original LOI and the 2019 LOI renewal, and there is no evidence that Department staff, who had the benefit of having made onsite inspections, acted in error.
- The NPS letter cites EPA's Hydrologic Assessment Manual as applying to this site. However, New Jersey DEP is required by Section 3 of the Freshwater Wetland Protection Act to use the Federal Interagency Manual for wetland delineation and identification when delineating wetlands and state open waters. This was a provision included in the Act at the insistence of EPA for delegation purposes, and the Hydrologic

Assessment Manual cannot be substituted as a matter of law.

- The NPS letter cites Section 12(c) of Wild and Scenic Rivers Act as authority for the proposition that EPA can re-visit the LOI. In fact, Section 12 does nothing more than to direct the river - administering agency (presumably the NPS) "to cooperate with EPA and state water quality agencies to address water quality concerns".
- I suspect that the right and the ability of EPA to revisit
 an LOI determination is governed not by the Wild and
 Scenic Rivers Act but by the 1994 EPA/NJ delegation
 document. I suggest that you look to that document
 for guidance, as it almost certainly does not allow for
 the revisiting of an LOI more than seven years after the
 fact in the manner proposed here.
- As you know, local objectors to the project that is proposed for the subject property are litigating the validity of the flood hazard approvals issued by NJDEP. Those objectors are no doubt the source of the information on which the NPS and EPA are now acting. While there is nothing inherently wrong with that, my client, in reliance on the LOI, the LOI renewal and the flood hazard approvals, recently closed on the property, paying \$5.3 Million. Government agencies should not allow themselves to be used in this way to interfere with long-standing, established standards and procedures for the delineation of wetlands in New Jersey.

We find that the actions being taken by the NPS and EPA are highly improper, and that DEP's acquiescence (by agreeing to conduct yet another site inspection) is equally improper. I therefoe urge you to abandon this effort. I have asked you for contact information for the relevant federal officials involved in this effort, but that has not occurred. I am therefore copying the one individual whose e-mail address appears in the December 20, 2020 NPS letter.

Neil Yoskin Partner Cullen and Dykman LLP 229 Nassau Street

Princeton, New Jersey 08542 T: 609.279.0900 | F: 609.497.2377

E: nyoskin@cullenllp.com

ATTORNEY-CLIENT PRIVILEGED COMMUNICATION - DO NOT FORWARD OR COPY - CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are intended solely for the personal and confidential use of the recipient(s) named above. This communication is intended to be and to remain confidential and may be subject to applicable attorney/client and/or work product privileges. If you are not the intended recipient of this message, or if this message has been addressed to you in error, please immediately alert the sender by reply e-mail and then delete this message and its attachments.